

Raviteja Vemulapalli, Jaishanker K. Pillai and Rama Chellappa

Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, University of Maryland, College Park.

Motivation

- Manifold features
 - Linear subspaces and symmetric positive definite matrices.
 - Used in many computer vision applications like image set-based recognition, texture classification, pedestrian detection, etc.
- Popular approaches like LDA, PLS, SVM, etc. can be extended to manifold features by using kernels.
- How can we find good kernels for the classification of manifold features?

Overview

- **Approach:** Use kernel learning approach to learn the kernel and the classifier jointly.
- **Criteria:**
 - For good classification performance, the risk function associated with the classifier in the mapped space should be minimized.
 - Since the features lie on a manifold with well defined structure, the mapping should be structure preserving.

The second criterion acts as a regularizer in learning the kernel.

$$\min_{W, K} \Gamma_c(W, K) + \lambda \Gamma_s(K)$$

Classifier risk function Manifold structure cost

W : Classifier parameters, K : Kernel matrix

- **Manifold structure cost:**

$$\Gamma_s(K) = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} (K_{ii} + K_{jj} - K_{ij} - K_{ji} - d_{ij}^2)^2$$

Distance induced by the kernel K Manifold geodesic distance

Extrinsic SVM - Multiple Kernel Learning

- Kernel K parameterized as a linear combination of known base kernels.

$$K = \sum_{m=1}^M \mu_m K^m; \mu_m \geq 0.$$

- SVM cost:

$$J_1(\vec{\mu}) = \max_{\vec{\alpha} \in \Omega} \left(\vec{\alpha}^T \vec{1} - \frac{1}{2} \vec{\alpha}^T \left(\vec{y} \vec{y}^T \circ \sum_{m=1}^M \mu_m K_{tr, tr}^m \right) \vec{\alpha} \right),$$

where $\Omega = \{ \vec{\alpha} \mid \vec{0} \leq \vec{\alpha} \leq C \vec{1}, \vec{\alpha}^T \vec{y} = 0 \}$, \vec{y} are the training labels and $\vec{\alpha}$ are the SVM dual variables.

- Manifold structure cost:

$$J_2(\vec{\mu}) = \|\zeta\|_F^2 = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq N_{tr}} \left(\sum_{m=1}^M \mu_m (K_{ii}^m + K_{jj}^m - K_{ij}^m - K_{ji}^m) - d_{ij}^2 \right)^2$$

Distance induced by the base kernel K^m

- Kernel learning problem:

$$\min_{\vec{\mu} \geq 0} J(\vec{\mu}), \text{ where } J(\vec{\mu}) = J_1(\vec{\mu}) + \lambda J_2(\vec{\mu}).$$

- $J(\vec{\mu})$ is a differentiable convex function of $\vec{\mu}$.

- Kernel learning problem can be solved using gradient-based methods.

Base Kernels and Geodesic Distances

- **Linear subspaces - Grassmann manifold:**

$$K_P^{rbf}(S_1, S_2) = \exp(-\gamma \|Y_1 Y_1^T - Y_2 Y_2^T\|_F^2)$$

$$K_P^{poly}(S_1, S_2) = (\gamma \|Y_1^T Y_2\|_F^2)^d$$

Y_1 and Y_2 are orthonormal matrices whose columns span the subspaces S_1 and S_2 respectively.

Distance: $d(S_1, S_2) = \|\vec{\theta}\|_2$, where $\vec{\theta} = [\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n]$ are the principal angles between the subspaces S_1 and S_2 .

- **Symmetric positive definite matrices:**

$$K_{log}^{rbf}(C_1, C_2) = \exp(-\gamma \|\log(C_1) - \log(C_2)\|_F^2)$$

$$K_{log}^{poly}(C_1, C_2) = (\gamma \text{trace}[\log(C_1)^T \log(C_2)])^d$$

Distance: $d(C_1, C_2) = (\sum_{i=1}^d \ln^2(\lambda_i))^{1/2}$, where λ_i are the generalized Eigen values of C_1 and C_2 .

Experimental Results

- Several RBF and polynomial kernels used.
- YouTube: 3 image sets for training and 6 for testing.
- ETH80: 5 image sets for training and 5 for testing.

Table 1: Recognition rates for image set-based face and object recognition tasks using linear subspaces

| Dataset | NN | S-MKL[1] | GDA[2] | Proj+PLS[3] | Proposed approach |
|---------|------|----------|--------|-------------|-------------------|
| YouTube | 62.8 | 64.3 | 65.7 | 67.7 | 70.8 |
| ETH80 | 93.2 | 93.7 | 92.8 | 95.3 | 96.0 |

Table 2: Recognition rates for image set-based face and object recognition tasks using covariance features

| Dataset | NN | S-MKL[1] | CDL-LDA[3] | CDL-PLS[3] | Proposed approach |
|---------|------|----------|------------|------------|-------------------|
| YouTube | 40.7 | 69.7 | 67.5 | 70.1 | 73.2 |
| ETH80 | 92.7 | 93.7 | 94.5 | 96.5 | 98.2 |

References

1. A. Rakotomamonjy, F. R. Bach, S. Canu, and Y. Grandvalet. SimpleMKL. JMLR, 9:2491–2521, 2008.
2. J. Hamm and D. D. Lee. Grassmann Discriminant Analysis: a Unifying View on Subspace-Based Learning. In ICML, 2008.
3. R. Wang, H. Guo, L. S. Davis, and Q. Dai. Covariance Discriminative Learning: A Natural and Efficient Approach to Image Set Classification. In CVPR, 2012.